

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh Rajnish Bharara, (M-9918896100)
Preet Colony, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali-140603
.....Appellant
..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o MC, Zirakpur, Distt Mohali

First Appellate Authority

NOW, O/o ADC (Urban Development) Mohali

.....Respondent

AC No. 5535 of 2021


Present: (i) Sh. Rajnish Bharara, Appellant.

(ii) **For the respondent** : Sh Nirdosh Sharma, Building Inspector and
Sh Gulshan Mehta, Advocate

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 21.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 29.11.2021. and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.12.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.04.2022 in the Commission office.
3. The Appellant states that he has not received the information so far.
4. The Respondent states that the available information has been provided to the Appellant.
5. After hearing both the parties and perusing the case file, it is ascertained that the Appellant through his RTI Application dated 21.10.2021 sought information from PIO

Helpline No. 0172-2864100



राज्य जनसूचना अधिनियम, 1987
नगर परिषद जीरखपुर
विषय :- सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम की धारा 6(1) के
महोदय, नगर परिषद जीरखपुर के अन्तर्गत अपने वाले क्षेत्रों
निम्नलिखित सूचीबद्ध की जाती है।
1. जीरखपुर में कांसेप्टी ड्रग्स और इन्फेक्शियस डिजिजों
की सूची। इनकी सूची तैयार करके नगर परिषद जीरखपुर के
2. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) की सूची 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
3. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
4. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
5. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
6. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
7. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
8. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
9. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के
10. उपरीक्ट (विन्डू) का मासिक 2019 से सूचना सूचना के

11. नगर परिषद् जीरकपुर में कार्यरत सफाई कर्मचारियों
स्वीपर्स से संबंधित अनुबंध/निविदा/टेन्डर तथा
नगर परिषद् जीरकपुर के द्वारा कर्मचारी मविष्य निधि
के भुगतान संबंधी कर्मचारियों के PF/EPF Account
नम्बर एवम् PF भुगतान के संबंध में समस्त दस्तावेजों
की प्रमाणित प्रतिलिपि उपलब्ध कराये।



AC No. 5535 of 2021

6. Today Sh Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the Respondent states that the information has already been provided to the Appellant. Further, he also states that the Appellant has received the same and given his receiving as under:-

ਦਫਤਰ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਜੀਰਕਪੁਰ
Email ID:- comczirakpur@yahoo.in

ਨੰ: 05
ਵਿਸ਼: ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਰਮੇਸ਼ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀ, 570, ਪੁਰੀ ਕਲਾਨ, ਜੀਰਕਪੁਰ। ਐਸ.ਐਸ.ਐਸ. ਨਗਰ। 140603

ਮਿਤੀ: 06/01/2022

ਵਿਸ਼:- ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.ਐਕਟ 2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਲੈਣ ਬਾਰੇ।

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.ਐਕਟ 2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖਤ ਪੁਆਇੰਟਾਂ ਵਾਈਜ਼ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ:-

1. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 01 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰੀਤ ਕਲੋਨੀ ਵਾਰਡ ਨੰ: 15 ਵਿੱਚ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਸਫਾਈ ਲਈ ਕੁੱਲ 6 ਸਫਾਈ ਸੇਵਕ ਲਗਾਏ ਗਏ ਹਨ।
2. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 02 ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸਫਾਈ ਸੇਵਕ ਆਊਟਸੋਰਸ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕੰਮ ਕਰ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਫਾਈ ਸੇਵਕਾਂ ਦੇ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਠੇਕੇਦਾਰ ਤੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਕੁਝ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ ਅੰਦਰ ਦੇ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।
3. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 03 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸਫਾਈ ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਦੇ ਮਾਸਟਰ ਰੋਲ ਤੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਹਨ।
4. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 04 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਡੋਰ ਟੂ ਡੋਰ ਕੂੜਾ-ਕਰਕਟ ਚੁੱਕਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਸਫਾਈ ਸੇਵਕਾਂ ਦਾ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨਾਲ ਕੋਈ ਸਬੰਧ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।
5. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 05 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਰਣਜੀਤ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸੈਨੇਟਰੀ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਟਰ ਮੋ: 9646200483
6. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 06 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਮੁਹੱਈਆਂ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣਗੀਆਂ।
7. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 07 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 06 ਅਨੁਸਾਰ।
8. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 08 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 06 ਅਨੁਸਾਰ।
9. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 09 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਲੇਬਰ ਵਿਭਾਗ ਕੋਲ ਰਜਿਸਟਰਡ ਹਨ।
10. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 10 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਇੰਨਸੈਰੋਸ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ।
11. ਬਿੰਦੂ ਨੰ: 11 ਦਾ ਜਵਾਬ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਆਊਟਸੋਰਸ ਸਫਾਈ ਸੇਵਕਾਂ ਦਾ ਈ.ਪੀ.ਐੱਫ. ਅਤੇ ਈ.ਐੱਸ.ਆਈ. ਹਰ ਮਹੀਨੇ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਜਮ੍ਹਾਂ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਉਕਤ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਰਹੀ ਹੈ।

06.01.2022

ਕਾਰਜ ਸਾਧਕ ਅਫਸਰ,
ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਜੀਰਕਪੁਰ।



AC No. 5535 of 2021

7. However, the Appellant denied receiving the same and kept on discussing about some other RTI application. Further, the Appellant stressed on the fact that his First Appeal filed before O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt. Patiala was not accepted in the office of the Respondent and was returned to him by the postal authorities with remarks "refused". In reply to the same, the Respondent submitted that the address on which the Appellant had sent his First Appeal is not correct. Hence, the same was not accepted. The Appellant was informed that vide notification of the Government of Punjab, Department of Local Government dated 21.05.2021 the posts of Regional Deputy Director was abolished and hence, the Appellant was required to file his First Appeal before O/o ADC (Urban Development) Mohali but he started shouting and using un-parliamentary language against the public authority. However, he was warned to desist from the use of such language.

8. The attention of the Appellant is also drawn towards the judgment of the Hon. Central Information Commissioner in case No. CIC/AT/A/2007/ 000160, dated 25.04.2007 that reads as under

"Appellant had absolutely no reasons to make derogatory remarks about officials of public authority."

9. Further, the Appellant was also informed that the designation of the First Appellate Authority has been changed from Deputy Director, Local Govt, Patiala to ADC (Urban Development) Mohali but the Appellant was not in a state to understand the matter and kept shouting. Efforts were also made to discuss the RTI of the Appellant but the Appellant was not interested in the same.

10. He also leveled allegations on the undersigned on favoring the Respondent on the basis that the Respondent was sitting in the Chamber before he entered. He was informed



AC No. 5535 of 2021

that the Respondent had also appeared in another case i.e, AC-5716/2021 and same was being heard previously but all efforts went in vain and the Appellant passed insuniating remarks.

11. In a similar case, the State Information Commission Tamil Nadu was forced to blacklist a petitioner from seeking any further information from the Commission and with a direction that the petitions made by the petitioner should not be entertained. This extreme decision was taken as the information seeker had misbehaved during the hearing and had made defamatory and insinuating remarks. Consequently, High Court of Madras in WP 3778 of 2013 had upheld the decision of the State Information Commission and observed:

"Henceforth no information seeker can be allowed to insinuate or defame the Commissioner in the guise of prosecuting their cases"

12. In view of the above, the Appellant is warned and directed to desist from the use of such language in future and confine to his RTI Applications for obtaining information under the RTI.

13. Since, the requisite information stands provided, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 11.04.2022

Sd/-
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh.Bant Ram, Village Teur,
Tehsill Kharar, Distt. Mohali

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Tehsildar, Majri
Distt. Mohali

REGISTERED POST:
First Appellate Authority
o/o Deputy Commissioner, Mohali

.....Respondent

Complaint CASE NO. 1309 OF 2021

Present: (i) Sh. Bant Ram the complainant
(ii) For the respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 21.10.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 06.12.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 11.04.2022 in the Commission.
3. The respondent states that the information has been sent to the complainant. The complainant states that he has not received any reply of the respondent so far. Copy of the information, as submitted, by the respondent is handed over to the complainant. The complainant has gone through the same and states that he is not satisfied with the same.
4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Complaint CASE NO. 1309 OF 2021

have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Complaint CASE NO. 1309 OF 2021

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Ms. Amritpal Kaur, # 34/1,
Harnam Dass Pura, Opp Vasal Hospital
Jalandhar

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Estate Officer, Punjab Waqf Board,
Jalandhar
REGISTERED POST
First Appellate Authority
o/o Chief Executive Officer
Punjab Waqf Board, SCO 1062-1063
Himalaya Marg, 22B, Sector 22
Chandigarh - 160022

.....Respondent

Complaint CASE NO. 1322 OF 2021

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 12.05.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 14.12.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 11.04.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



Complaint CASE NO. 1322 OF 2021

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Gurdeep Singh, (M-81460-57408)
S/o Sh. Hakam Singh,
R/o Mangewal, Tehsil & Distt Barnala

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Deptt of Finance,
Punjab civil Secretariate-1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Secretary, Deptt of Finance,
Punjab civil Secretariate-1, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No. 5517 of 2021

Present: (i) Sh. Gurdeep Singh the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Parshotam Kumar, ASI

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 18.08.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 03.12.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.04.2022 i.e. today.
3. The respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant as well as to the Commission.
4. The appellant has gone through the reply and states that he is satisfied with the same.
5. Since, the appellant Sh. Gurdeep Singh is satisfied with the reply of the respondent, hence no cause of action is left, therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Virinder Kumar, House No. 142
Street No. 6, Punia Colony, Sangrur
(9464260038)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI(SE), Punjab
Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62,
Mohali

First Appellate Authority
O/o DPI(SE), Punjab
Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62,
Mohali

REGISTERED POST
Public Information Officer
O/o DEO(SE),
Sangrur

.....Respondent


AC No. 3902 of 2021

Present: (i) Sh. Virinder Kumar the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Tejinder Singh, Sr. Assistant (9815660845), Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant (9814249270) and Sh. Sarve Mittal, J.A. (9501044888)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

- The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.
- The respondent . o/o DPI(SE) states that the appellant had inspected original file. He has also filed his reply which is as under:-




The appellant has gone through the reply and is satisfied with the same.



AC No. 3902 of 2021

4. The respondent, o/o DEO(SE) Hoshiarpur has also filed his reply, the same is as under:-



In view of the abovementioned reply filed by the respondent no. 2, I direct the respondent no. 2 to provide the information to the appellant as soon as they received the information from the AG Office, Punjab.

5. With these directions, the above appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Shri Amajit Singh Dhamotia, (9888490031)
60-35-P-330-, Street No.8,
Maha Singh Nagar, PO : Dhandari Kalan,
Ludhiana-141014.

.... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Mandi Gobindgarh.
Distt. Fatehgarh sahib

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Mandi Gobindgarh
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib .

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 3327 OF 2021

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ratinder Kumar, Inspector (9888553915)

ORDER


This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. The appellant is absent today. A letter has been received from the appellant through mail stating that he is unable to attend the hearing and has sought another date.
3. The respondent states that he has brought point-wise reply on oath paper as per directions of the Commission.
4. The perusal of the file shows that the appellant is absent for second consecutive hearing. It is observed that the appellant has also given inspection rights. Today, the respondent has brought point wise reply on affidavit in the Commission. The same is as under:-

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
 Sector 16, Chandigarh.
 Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
 Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
 Helpline No. 0172-2864100



APPEAL CASE NO. 3327 OF 2021



5. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing. I am, therefore, of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by prolonging this matter any further. Whatever information was available with the department has been supplied. Even the respondent has given an affidavit, hence no cause of action is left.

Copy of the affidavit as submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.

6. In view of the foregoing, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
 State Information Commissioner
 Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com
Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur,
C/o Sh. Satinderpal Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
ST. No. 4, Guru Nanak Nagar,
Kacha Malak Road, Jagraon,
Distt. Ludhiana

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Director General of Punjab
Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector 9,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director General of Punjab
Punjab Police Headquarter, Sector 9,
Chandigarh

.....Respondent

APPEAL CASE NO. 5678 OF 2021

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Harpreet Singh, ASI (9779800315), Sh. Parshotam Kumar, ASI (9417131510)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 02.09.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 14.12.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 11.04.2022 i.e. today.
3. The appellant is absent today.
4. The respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant.
5. The appellant is contacted on phone. He states that he has received the information and is satisfied.
6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 11.04.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab